

HR-8/12-72- Inquirer story on Wecht consultancy Cross case: without knowing facts, I'd be without doubt Cyril's scientific accuracy and the dependability of his interpretation in this case. Esp. with the pattern so typical of police acorgance/violance.

However, this also illustrates my point that he does have a private business as a consultant and has much personal gain at issue in his expected access to the contract materials, anything else he can in any way snaffle and the publicity he can cause by all of it. And if you want to see the potential for lawyers and pathologists, if the survivors sue for only this poor man's expectable income for no raises during the course of a normal working lifetime, early tetirement and all that, no connubial or other losses, the least this suit could be for would be \$400,000. It can be a very profitable business! All you need is enough clients-and you can't advertise for them.

If I'm not mistaken, I think the first word I heard in justification/defense/ explanation was "purist". Pretty word. Good concept. Rich meaning(s). HW